

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
---	---

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
 filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following ☒ Patents or ☐ Trademarks:

DOCKET NO. CV 10-00544 HRL	DATE FILED 2/8/2010	U.S. DISTRICT COURT 280 South First St, Rm 2112, San Jose, CA 95113
PLAINTIFF PIXART IMAGING INC.		DEFENDANT AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES GENERAL IP (SINGAPORE) PTE. LTD., INC., ET AL.
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 6,433,780		
2 5,686,720		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1		SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT	
2			
3			
4			
5			

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT

CLERK Richard W. Wieking	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK Betty Walton	DATE February 8, 2010
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------

COPY

**ORIGINAL
FILED**

2010 FEB -8 P 1:31

**RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. OF CALIFORNIA**

DURIE TANGRI LLP
DARALYN J. DURIE--#169825
ddurie@durietangri.com
MICHAEL H. PAGE--#154913
mpage@durietangri.com
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 362-6666
Facsimile: (415) 236-6300

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

C10-00544 HRL
Case No.

PixArt Imaging Inc.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Avago Technologies General IP (Singapore)
Pte. Ltd., Inc., Avago Technologies ECU IP
(Singapore) Pte. Ltd., Inc., and Avago
Technologies USA, Inc.,

Defendant.

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
JUDGMENT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PixArt Imaging Inc. ("PixArt") for its complaint against Avago Technologies General IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., Inc., Avago Technologies ECU IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., Inc. and Avago Technologies USA, Inc. (collectively "Avago") alleges as follows:

1 **PARTIES**

2 1. Plaintiff PixArt is a Taiwanese corporation with its principal place of business in
3 Hsin-Chu, Taiwan, Republic of China. PixArt designs, develops and markets CMOS image
4 sensors. Using proprietary CMOS image sensing technology, PixArt designs, develops and
5 markets low-cost, high-quality CMOS image sensors that have a small die size and low power
6 requirements. PixArt products are used in a wide variety of applications that require image
7 capture technology, such as digital cameras, digital camcorders, video conferencing systems,
8 security systems, and optical mice (mice that detect relative motion optically instead of
9 mechanically). PixArt's product line includes low-cost, CMOS-process optical navigation
10 sensors, suitable for use as motion sensors in optical mice and optical trackballs.

11 2. Defendants Avago Technologies General IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., Inc. and Avago
12 Technologies ECBU IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., Inc. are Singaporean companies with their
13 principal place of business in Singapore. Defendant Avago Technologies USA Inc. is a
14 Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in San Jose, CA. Avago is the holder
15 of various United States Patents drawn to technologies for optical mice, trackballs, and pseudo-
16 trackballs, including U.S. Patent No. 6,433,780 (the "'780 patent'"), entitled "Seeing Eye Mouse
17 for a Computer System", U.S. Patent No. 5,686,720 (the "'720 patent'"), entitled "Method and
18 Device for Achieving High Contrast Surface Illumination," and U.S. Patent No. 5,786,804 (the
19 "'804 patent'"), entitled "Method and System for Tracking Attitude," each of which it asserted
20 against PixArt in prior litigation in this Court.

21 **JURISDICTION**

22 3. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a), jurisdiction is proper in this Court because this
23 action arises under an Act or Acts of Congress relating to patents. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332,
24 jurisdiction is proper in this Court because this action is between citizens of a state and citizens
25 or subjects of a foreign state where the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional
26 minimum.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VENUE

4. Venue is proper in this district is proper pursuant to the venue selection provisions in the Patent License Agreement ("the Agreement") in this action, which Agreement, was entered into pursuant to the settlement of prior litigation in this district (N.D. Cal. Case No. C03-4871 JW) (the "Prior Litigation"). Venue in this district is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because, on information and belief, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to PixArt's claims occurred in this judicial district, and because Defendant Avago Technologies, Inc. has its principal place of business in this district.

INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. Pursuant to the contract at issue herein, and because this case is related to and arises out of the Prior Litigation between the same parties before Judge Ware, this matter is properly assigned to the San Jose district. Because this action is an intellectual property action, it is properly assigned to any of the divisions in the district.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

6. In October, 2003, PixArt filed the Prior Litigation (then styled PixArt v. Agilent) in this Court, seeking a declaration that it did not infringe the '780 patent, which at the time was owned by Agilent Technologies, Inc.

7. Agilent counterclaimed, asserting infringement of the '780, '720, and '804 patents. Avago thereafter acquired Agilent's interest in those patents, and substituted into the Prior Litigation in Agilent's place.

8. On July 13, 2006, Avago and PixArt settled the Prior Litigation, and entered into a Patent License Agreement ("the Agreement"). That Agreement included cross-licenses to any then-issued or then-pending patents covering parts for optical trackballs or optical mice.

9. PixArt has been timely and regularly paying royalties to Avago under the Agreement, and has performed all of its obligations under the Agreement.

10. Optical mice and optical trackballs control the motion of computer cursors by

1 bouncing either LED or laser light off of a surface, and detecting motion by analyzing that
2 reflected light. Optical mice typically place the light source and sensor in the mouse, and bounce
3 the light off of the surface upon which the mouse moves. Optical trackballs typically bounce the
4 light off a captive ball, and respond to movement of that ball. Well-known examples of optical
5 trackballs include popular personal computer trackballs such as the Microsoft Trackball Optical.

6 11. Since the Agreement was executed, Avago, PixArt, and others have continued to
7 develop improvements on optical navigation devices. One of those developments is known as a
8 "pseudo trackball," "optical joystick," or "optical finger mouse." Although a pseudo trackball
9 looks and acts like a typical trackball, the "ball" does not revolve. Instead, it is a fixed
10 transparent or semi-transparent dome. LED or laser light is directed through or along that dome,
11 and the sensor analyzes the reflection of the light off of the user's finger. In other versions, an
12 "optical finger mouse" tracks the movement of a finger, which acts as mouse on a small
13 mousepad. Some newer versions of Samsung mobile phones, such as the i780 (Epix) and i900
14 (Omnia), now use optical mice or optical joysticks in place of moving trackballs.

15 12. Pursuant to the Agreement, Avago Technologies ECBU IP executed another
16 Patent License Agreement with PixArt in Taiwan on October 19, 2006, specifically licensing to
17 PixArt the Taiwanese counterparts to Avago's U.S. Patents. On November 23, 2007, Avago
18 registered that license, listing all of its existing Taiwanese patents related to optical mice and
19 optical trackballs, with the Taiwan Intellectual Property Office. That license and registration
20 expressly listed and included the Taiwanese counterparts to two U.S. Patents drawn to pseudo
21 trackball devices: U.S. Patent No. 6,677,929, entitled "Optical Pseudo Trackball Controls the
22 Operation of an Appliance or Machine," and U.S. Patent 6,057,450, entitled "Mouseless Optical
23 and Position Translation Type Screen Pointer." On information and belief, those two patents
24 were Avago's only patents drawn to pseudo trackball technology at the time of the registration.

25 13. On October 9, 2009, Avago wrote to PixArt, informing PixArt that it had made
26 "significant additions to its patent portfolio" for pseudo trackballs, which it refers to as "optical
27 finger navigation," or "OFN," and offering to discuss licensing those patents. In response,
28

1 PixArt stated its understanding that the Agreement already covered such products, and cited
2 Avago's own registration with the Taiwan Patent Office as evidence of the parties' mutual
3 understanding. On December 10, 2009, Avago responded by denying that pseudo trackballs
4 were encompassed by the Agreement, demanding that PixArt not tell its customers that it was
5 licensed, and threatening suit if PixArt did not comply. Repeated subsequent attempts to
6 negotiate the dispute have been ignored by Avago.

7 14. PixArt has designed, and has a present intent and desire to offer for sale in the
8 United States, parts for use in pseudo trackballs. Although PixArt does not believe its products
9 infringe any of Avago's patents, Avago has threatened suit, and PixArt's customers are unwilling
10 to incorporate PixArt's parts into their own products unless PixArt can assure them that such use
11 is licensed. Avago, however, has threatened to immediately "issue a termination notice" of the
12 Agreement if PixArt provides such assurances to its customers. As a result, Avago's threats
13 have had a severe and immediate impact on PixArt's business.

14
15 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**
16 **(Declaratory Judgment)**

17 15. PixArt incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through
18 12 as though fully set forth herein.

19 16. Based on Avago's assertions, PixArt's past and current conduct, and PixArt's
20 future plans, all as described above, an actual, present, and justiciable controversy has arisen
21 between PixArt and Avago concerning the scope of the Agreement, and specifically whether
22 navigation sensors suitable for use as motion sensors in pseudo trackballs are encompassed
23 within the Agreement's cross-licenses.

24 17. PixArt's navigation sensors suitable for use as motion sensors in pseudo
25 trackballs are licensed under the terms of the Agreement.

26 18. A judicial declaration that PixArt's pseudo trackball products are licensed under
27 the terms of the Agreement is necessary and appropriate at this time so that PixArt can ascertain
28 its rights and duties with respect to designing, developing and marketing navigation sensors

1 suitable for use as motion sensors in pseudo trackballs.

2
3 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

4 Wherefore, PixArt prays for judgment as follows:

- 5 a. That the Court enter a judgment declaring that PixArt's navigation sensors
6 suitable for use as motion sensors in pseudo trackballs are licensed under the
7 terms of the Agreement, and
8 b. That the Court award PixArt such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

9
10 Dated: February 8th, 2010

DURIE TANGRI LLP

11
12
13 By: 

14 Michael H. Page
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
16 PixArt Imaging Inc.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRAIL**

3
4 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38 and Civil Local Rule 3-6, PixArt hereby
5 demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

6
7 Dated: February 8th, 2010

DURIE TANGRI LLP

8
9
10 By: 

11 Michael H. Page
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
13 PixArt Imaging Inc.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28